

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Cove Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/029,036	12/28/2001	Yoshiyuki Takizawa	1794-0146P	4262	
2292 · 7	7590 05/01/2003				
BIRCH STEV	WART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2072		

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)	
٠		1	0/029,036		TAKIZAWA EŢ AL	
	Office Action Summary	E	xaminer		Art Unit	
			oshua L Pritchett		2872	
	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appear	rs on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence ac	iaress
THE N - Exten after S - if the - if NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. 0) days, a reply with atutory period will a will by statute, call.). In no event, however, hin the statutory minimun ipply and will expire SIX (may a reply be time of thirty (30) days 6) MONTHS from the ome ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>11 Mar</u>	<u>rch 2003</u> .			
2a)□	Title General Inc.		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	n for allowand tice under <i>Ex</i>	e except for forma parte Quayle, 19	al matters, pro 35 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the following secution as the following secution security secur	ne merits is
-	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-9</u> is/are pending	in the applic	ation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 10</u>			eration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	lection requireme	nt.		
	on Papers					
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 28 December					
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the d	Irawing(s) be held ir	abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)	•
11)[The proposed drawing correction file				ved by the Exami	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re		•	1.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exan	niner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign p	priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority					
	2. Certified copies of the priority					
	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter See the attached detailed Office acti 	national Bure on for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17. the certified copi	2(a)). es not receive	d.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim					al application).
1	a) The translation of the foreign late Acknowledgment is made of a claim	inguage provi	isional application	has been rec	eived.	
Attachme						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	5) 🔲 N	sterview Summan lotice of Informal ther:	y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (R	No(s)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 5-6, 7/5, 7/6 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-4, 7/1, 7/2 and 8-9 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner should issue the restriction based on the Figures in the Drawings. This is not found persuasive because the examiner is not required by the MPEP to issue an election of species restriction where the restriction is between the drawings (see MPEP 808.01(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2872

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Otani (JP 05-232297).

Regarding claim 1, Otani discloses a reflecting mirror (3) involving a surface part wherein different types of multilayer films (abstract lines 5-6) have been formed, respectively, in every regions of predetermined shapes (Fig. 1), and reflecting light rays (Fig. 2) which were input, by said surface part. Otani further discloses a detector (4) to which the light rays reflected by said surface part are input (Fig. 2) and which detects spectrally the light rays thus input.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otani.

Otani teaches that the multilayer films reflect light in the X-ray spectrum (claim 1 line 1).

Otani further teaches the layers of the multilayer films being made of nickel and carbon (abstract line 14). The applicant states that the multilayer films in the present invention are made of nickel and carbon (application page 15). It would therefore have been obvious, although perhaps not inherent; to have the multilayer films of Otani have total internal reflection in the visible

Art Unit: 2872

spectrum because the multilayer films of Otani are comprised of the same material as the present invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art a the time the invention was made to have the Otani multilayer films have total internal reflection of light in the visible spectrum for the purpose of using the telescope detector to observe only shorter wavelengths than visible light.

Claims 3/1, 3/2, 4/3/1 and 4/3/2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otani in view of Thoe (US 5,027,377).

Regarding claims 3/1 and 3/2, Otani discloses the surface part involves which has been divided into a plurality of sector-shaped areas (Fig. 1) each having a predetermined central angle containing a central portion of the circular shape at its apex (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows that each of the small areas shown in Fig. 1 must have its own predetermined angle so at to cause the reflected light to converge onto detector (4). Otani lacks reference to the surface part being a circular shape. Thoe teaches a multilayer X-ray telescope (abstract lines 3-6) that has a surface part that is a circular shape (Fig. 5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Otani reference have the circular shape taught by Thoe for the purpose of exapanding the incident angle that will be received by the surface part.

Regarding claims 4/3/1 and 4/3/2, Otani discloses the surface part is composed of a plurality of sections each involving a predetermined number of said sector-shaped areas (Fig. 1) in which types of multilayer films formed therein and orders in alignment of the multilayer films coincide with each other in the plural sections (abstract lines 7-12). The abstract of Otani

Art Unit: 2872

discloses the use of a plurality of multilayer film reflectors each having a prescribed dimension on the whole of the surface part. Otani also discloses that the height of each small section is the same (abstract line 15-16), which would inherently mean that the regions align with one another.

Claims 7/1, 7/2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otani in view of Thoe as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Perryman (US 5,381,001).

Otani in combination with Thoe teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of a super conducting tunnel junction detector. Perryman teaches the use of a super conducting tunnel junction detector (29) in a telescope (col. 17 lines 39-41). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Otani reference detector be a super conducting tunnel junction detector as taught by Perryman for the purpose of detecting quasi-particles.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L Pritchett whose telephone number is 703-305-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2872

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular

communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JLP April 17, 2003

> hynthy Misson Maries

Page 6